UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,346	03/16/2007	Akihiko Namba	050212-0716	3240
	7590 02/02/201 WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STREET, N.W.			HUBER, ROBERT T	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2892	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/580,346	NAMBA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ROBERT HUBER	2892			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7,8 and 10-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7,8 and 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/05/2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2892

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 13, 2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The rejection of claim(s) 1 - 11 and 13 - 16 under USC 112, second paragraph, cited in the previous office action filed on September 3, 2009 is (are) hereby withdrawn. See the Response to Arguments section below for clarification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 2892

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. Claims 1 5, 8, 11, and 13 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imai et al. (US 5,001,452, prior art of record) in view of Yoshida (US 6,340,393 B1, prior art of record).
 - a. Regarding claim 1, Imai discloses a diamond n-type semiconductor (e.g. Example 1, being in col. 4, line 66) comprising a first diamond semiconductor which has n-type conduction (col. 4, line 68 col. 5, line discloses forming an n-type diamond semiconductor layer, doped with sulfur, on a diamond substrate) and in which a distortion or defect is artificially formed (as disclosed in Imai, the n-type layer is doped with dopants (S), and therefore a distortion is formed in the diamond semiconductor lattice due to the sulfur impurity. Furthermore, the patentability of a product does not depend on the method of production. See MPEP 2113),

wherein said first diamond semiconductor contains at least one kind of donor element of 5 x 10^{19} cm⁻³ or more in total (col. 2, lines 30 - 32 and Table 1 disclose a dopant concentration to be between 1 x 10^{12} cm⁻³ and 1 x 10^{21} cm⁻³, and therefore anticipates the claimed value)

Application/Control Number: 10/580,346

Art Unit: 2892

wherein said first diamond semiconductor has an n-type dopant concentration adjusted by vapor-phase growth (col. 5, line 3 discloses forming the n-type dopant concentration layer by CVD, which is a vapor phase deposition. Furthermore, the patentability of a product does not depend on the method of production. See MPEP 2113.) such that an electron concentration of said first diamond semiconductor exhibits a negative correlation with temperature, in a temperature range having a width of 100°C or more and included within a temperature region from 0°C to 300°C (see comment below regarding the properties of the device, which follows claim 5).

Page 4

Imai is silent with respect to said first diamond semiconductor containing an impurity element other than the donor element, the contained amount of the impurity element being lower than the total contained amount of the donor element

Yoshida discloses a combining a second impurity element together with the donor element in a diamond semiconductor (col. 2, lines 51 – 52, col. 5, lines 59 – 61, and col. 5, lines 65 - 67), the contained amount of the impurity element being lower than the total contained amount of the donor element (e.g. col. 2, lines 57 – 62 and col. 3, lines 5 - 10 discloses forming the impurity (acceptor) and donor in the diamond semiconductor with a atomic density ratio of 1:2 or 1:3, such that the amount of donor material is 2 - 3 times more than the impurity (acceptor) material).

Art Unit: 2892

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Imai to include an impurity element along with the donor element, with the amount of impurity element less than the amount of donor element, since it was known that one may combine impurity elements with donor elements into diamond semiconductor materials, as taught by Yoshida. One would have been motivated to add an impurity element with a donor element with the relationship as claimed since it allows a stabilization of the semiconductor layer with a large dopant density (as discussed in Yoshida, col. 2, lines 51 - 53).

- i. Claim 2, Imai in view of Yoshida disclose a diamond n-type semiconductor according to claim 1, as cited above, wherein said first diamond semiconductor has a Hall coefficient exhibiting a positive correlation with temperature, in the temperature range (see below)
- ii. Claim 3, Imai in view of Yoshida disclose a diamond n-type semiconductor according to claim 1, as cited above, wherein the temperature range included within the temperature region from 0°C to 300°C has a width of over 200°C or more (see below)

Art Unit: 2892

iii. Claim 4, Imai in view of Yoshida disclose a diamond n-type semiconductor according to claim 1, as cited above, wherein said first diamond semiconductor has a resistivity of 500 Ω cm or less at a temperature within the temperature region from 0°C to 300°C (see below)

iv. Claim 5, Imai in view of Yoshida disclose a diamond n-type semiconductor according to claim 1, as cited above, wherein the electron concentration of said first diamond semiconductor is always 10¹⁶ cm⁻³ or more in the temperature region from 0°C to 300°C (see below).

Regarding claims 1 – 5, the device of Example 1 of Imai contains an n-type diamond semiconductor layer containing a Sulfur dopant concentration, as disclosed in col. 2, lines 30 – 32 and Table 1, which resides on a diamond substrate, as disclosed in col. 5, lines 1 - 2. Since the device of Imai in view of Yoshida meets the structural limitations of the claimed invention of the Applicant, the properties of the applicant's invention, such as the temperature dependence of the electron concentration and Hall coefficient as claimed in claims 1 - 5, are obvious or inherent to the device of Imai. See MPEP 2112.01.

Art Unit: 2892

b. Regarding claim 8, Imai in view of Yoshida disclose a diamond n-type semiconductor according to claim 1, as cited above, wherein said first diamond semiconductor contains at least S (sulfur) as the donor element (Imai: e.g. as disclosed in col. 4, line 68).

- c. Regarding claim 11, **Imai in view of Yoshida disclose a diamond n- type semiconductor according to claim 1, wherein said first diamond semiconductor is monocrystal diamond** (Imai: e.g. col. 2, line 28 discloses the formation of the first diamond semiconductor by "single-crystal" growth).
- d. Regarding claim 13, **Imai in view of Yoshida disclose a semiconductor device at least partly employing a diamond n-type semiconductor according to claim 1** (Imai: as disclosed in col. 4, lines 38 44).
- e. Regarding claim 14, Imai in view of Yoshida disclose the diamond n-type semiconductor according to claim 1, as cited above. Imai is silent with respect to the device being used in at least an electron emitting part of an electron emitting device.

Yoshida discloses that diamond semiconductor devices can be used as an electron emitter (col. 5, lines 18 – 19).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the diamond semiconductor of Imai as an electron

Art Unit: 2892

emitter since Yoshida discloses that such semiconductor devices can be used as electron emitters. One would be motivated to use the devices in such a manner since a low resistivity exists in such devices, creating an efficient electron emitter.

f. Regarding claim 15, Imai in view of Yoshida disclose a method of manufacturing a diamond n-type semiconductor according to claim 1, as cited above, said method comprising the steps of:

preparing a diamond substrate (Imai: substrate disclosed in col. 5, line1); and

epitaxially growing a diamond semiconductor on said diamond substrate by vapor phase growth (Imai: col. 4, line 68 – col. 5, line 3 discloses forming the diamond semiconductor by CVD (chemical vapor deposition) and col. 2, lines 25 – 26 disclose forming the diamond semiconductor by vapor phase growth. Col. 5, line 8 discloses the diamond semiconductor to be epitaxial), whereby said diamond semiconductor has n-type conduction (Imai: e.g. as disclosed in col. 5, lines 7 - 10) and has a distortion or defect which is artificially formed therein (as disclosed in Imai, the n-type layer is doped with dopants (S), and therefore a distortion is formed in the diamond semiconductor lattice due to the impurity).

Imai is silent with respect to disclosing artificially introducing an impurity element other than a donor element to said diamond substrate while growing the diamond semiconductor.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,346

Art Unit: 2892

Yoshida discloses artificially introducing an impurity element other than a donor element to a diamond substrate while growing the diamond semiconductor (e.g. col. 2, lines 51 – 52, col. 5, lines 59 – 61, and col. 5, lines 65 - 67).

Page 9

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Imai such that an impurity element other than the donor (dopant) element is introduced to the diamond substrate while growing the diamond semiconductor since Yoshida discloses that the addition of impurities while growing diamond semiconductors on diamond substrates can be advantageous. One would have been motivated to add the impurity to the doped diamond semiconductor because it would aid in the stabilization of the diamond semiconductor layer with a large dopant density (as discussed in Yoshida, col. 2, lines 51 - 53).

- 6. Claims 7, 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imai in view of Yoshida as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Hasegawa et al. (US 2002/0127405 A1, prior art of record).
 - a. Regarding claim 7, Imai in view of Yoshida discloses the diamond semiconductor according to claim 1, as cited above, but are silent with respect to explicitly disclosing said first diamond semiconductor contains at least P (phosphorus) as the donor element. However, Imai does

Art Unit: 2892

acknowledge that phosphorus may be used as a dopant in semiconductors (Imai: col. 2, lines 20 - 21).

Hasegawa discloses that both phosphorus and sulfur can be used as n-type dopants in diamond semiconductors (e.g. ¶ [0032] discloses the use of sulfur, and ¶ [0037] discloses that phosphorus may also be used).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Imai in view of Yoshida such that phosphorus was uses as the dopant in the n-type diamond semiconductor layer since Imai discloses that sulfur is used as the n-type dopant, and Hasegawa discloses that both sulfur and phosphorus may be used as the n-type dopant in the diamond semiconductor. One would have been motivated to use phosphorus instead of sulfur in order to adjust the band-gap and electrical properties of the semiconductor to optimize the semiconductor properties, such as conductivity, for a desired circuit, as discussed by Hasegawa (¶ [0037]).

b. Regarding claim 10, Imai in view of Yoshida disclose a diamond ntype semiconductor according to claim 1, as cited above, however they are
silent with respect to explicitly disclosing said first diamond
semiconductor containing at least 1 x 10¹⁷ cm⁻³ of Si as the impurity
element.

Art Unit: 2892

Hasegawa discloses that a concentration of $1x \cdot 10^{16}$ to $1x \cdot 10^{21}$ cm⁻³ of silicon can be used as an impurity element when doping semiconductor diamond (paragraphs [0037] – [0038]).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Imai in view of Yoshida, such that the other impurity element is silicon with a concentration of 1x 10¹⁶ to 1x10²¹ cm⁻³ since Hasegawa discloses that silicon can be used in such concentrations to dope semiconductor diamond with a p-type material, and Yoshida discloses that a combination of n-type and p-type materials may be doped into a diamond semiconductor in order to stabilize the material when high n-type densities are used (col. 2, lines 50 - 52 of Yoshida). One would be motivated to use silicon as an impurity element since silicon was a commonly used element in the semiconductor industry and is readily available with well-known properties, and one skilled in the art may adjust the band-gap and electrical properties of the semiconductor to using Si to optimize the semiconductor properties, such as conductivity, for a desired circuit, as discussed by Hasegawa (¶ [0037]).

c. Regarding claim 16, Imai in view of Yoshida disclose a method of forming a diamond n-type semiconductor according to claim 15, as cited above, however they are silent with respect to explicitly disclosing that Si is artificially introduced as the impurity element to said diamond semiconductor substrate.

Art Unit: 2892

Hasegawa discloses that silicon can be used as an impurity element when doping semiconductor diamond (paragraphs [0037] – [0038]).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Imai in view of Yoshida, such that the other impurity element is silicon since Hasegawa discloses that silicon can be used in such concentrations to dope semiconductor diamond with a ptype material, and Yoshida discloses that a combination of n-type and p-type materials may be doped into a diamond semiconductor in order to stabilize the material when high n-type densities are used (col. 2, lines 50 - 52 of Yoshida). One would be motivated to use silicon as an impurity element since silicon was a commonly used element in the semiconductor industry and is readily available with well-known properties, and one skilled in the art may adjust the band-gap and electrical properties of the semiconductor to using Si to optimize the semiconductor properties, such as conductivity, for a desired circuit, as discussed by Hasegawa (¶ [0037]).

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imai in view of Yoshida, as applied to claim 1 above, and in further view of Shiomi et al. (US 5,252,840, prior art of record). Imai in view of Yoshida disclose a diamond n-type semiconductor according to claim 1, but are silent with respect to disclosing the device further comprises a second diamond semiconductor provided adjacent to said first diamond semiconductor and turned out to be n-type, wherein said

second diamond semiconductor has an electron concentration exhibiting a negative correlation with temperature and a Hall coefficient not exhibiting a positive correlation with temperature, in the temperature range.

Shiomi discloses that a second diamond semiconductor may be provided adjacent to a first diamond semiconductor (e.g. figure 1(b), second diamond semiconductor 3, disclosed in col. 9, lines 46 – 48, adjacent to first diamond semiconductor 2, disclosed in col. 8, lines 21 - 22),

wherein said second diamond semiconductor has an electron concentration exhibiting a negative correlation with temperature and a Hall coefficient not exhibiting a positive correlation with temperature, in the temperature range (col. 5, lines 16 – 24 disclose the structural characteristics of the device and layers. Since the device of Shiomi meets the structural limitations of the claimed invention of the Applicant, the properties of the applicant's invention, such as the temperature dependence of the electron concentration and Hall coefficient as claimed in claim 12, are presumed inherent to the device of Shiomi. See MPEP 2112.01).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Imai in view of Yoshida such that a second diamond semiconductor layer was adjacent to the first diamond semiconductor layer, with the claimed properties, since Shiomi discloses that one may form such structures to achieve desired conduction properties of the device (col. 9, lines 61 – 68). One would have been motivated to form a second diamond semiconductor layer adjacent to the

Art Unit: 2892

first diamond semiconductor layer in order to allow charge carrier diffusion from the first layer into the second layer, thereby altering the conduction properties of the device, as disclosed by Shiomi (col. 5, line 16 - 21).

Although Shiomi is silent with respect to the second semiconductor being n-type, Shiomi discloses the first and second diamond semiconductor layers to be p-type doped diamond semiconductor (col. 8, line 22 and col. 9, lines 48 - 49), and it is well-known in the art that one may interchange p-type and n-type doping to achieve a desired charge carrier concentration of either holes or electrons (e.g. as discussed in Shiomi, col. 1, lines 51 – 55, and lmai, col. 1, lines 16 - 24). One would have been motivated to substitute n-type doping for p-type doping in the first and second layers of Shiomi in order to create an n-type device, which would allow one to form complimentary circuits well-known in the semiconductor art (e.g. pn junctions).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claim 1 filed on November 13, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. At present, the prior art of Imai in view of Yoshida remains commensurate to the scope of the claims as stated by the Applicant within the context of the claim language and as broadly interpreted by the Examiner [MPEP 2111], which is elucidated and expounded upon above. In response to Applicants arguments drawn to the amendment "the contained amount of the impurity element being lower than the total contained amount of the donor element", the

Application/Control Number: 10/580,346

Page 15

Art Unit: 2892

examiner finds that Imai in view of Yoshida renders obvious such a limitation. In particular, Yoshida discloses that both a donor, such as Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), or Arsenide (As), and an impurity, such as Hydrogen (H), may be both doped into a diamond semiconductor (col. 2, lines 57 – 66), and that the ratio of the impurity amount to the donor amount is 1:2 or 1:3 (col. 3, lines 4 - 25, and figure 1). Therefore, Yoshida discloses the amount of the impurity element to be lower than the amount of the donor element by 2 to 3 times. Yoshida further discloses the benefits of such a combination of donor and impurity by stating that such a configuration stabilizes the crystal structure of the diamond crystal (col. 3, lines 19 - 25). Since Imai discloses an n-type diamond semiconductor with a donor element of concentration of 5x10 ¹⁹ cm⁻³ or more, and Yoshida discloses the doping of a similar diamond semiconductor substrate with both a donor and an impurity, whereby the impurity amount is less than the donor amount, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to combine the references to arrive at the claimed invention.

9. Applicant's arguments, see page 6, ¶ 4 and page 8, ¶ 1, filed November 13, 2009, with respect to the rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35 USC 112 of claims 1 – 11 and 13 -16 has been withdrawn. In particular, the Applicant argues that the device of claim 1 is enabled since the specification discloses the structure of the device with the necessary steps to for said device, and that one of ordinary skill in the art would know how to make and use such an invention. Furthermore, the Applicant has argued

Art Unit: 2892

that the properties of the invention of claim 1 are due to the formation of the distortion or defect functioning as a carrier trap in the doped semiconductor, and therefore the claimed properties of the electron concentration having a negative correlation with temperature will be exhibited due to the particular structure of the device. The Examiner finds this argument persuasive, and emphasizes that the temperature dependence of the electron concentration is a property of the device, and that it has been held that when the prior art discloses the <u>structure</u> of the claimed invention, a prima facie case of anticipation or obviousness of the properties of the device has been established. See MPEP 2112.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT HUBER whose telephone number is (571)270-3899. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (11am - 7pm EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thao Le can be reached on (571) 272-1708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2892

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lex Malsawma/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2892

/Robert Huber/ Examiner, Art Unit 2892 January 29, 2010